

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

ARTURO GONZALEZ-RENTERIA,

Defendant.

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

01:17-cr-00292-ELR-AJB-3

---

**ORDER**

---

This matter is before the Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of Magistrate Judge John Alan J. Baverman [Doc. 344], and Defendant’s motion [Doc. 374] to adopt his previously filed motions for application to the Second Superseding Indictment. Judge Baverman recommends that Defendant’s motion to suppress evidence of Global Positioning System (“GPS”) tracking [Doc. 121], and motion to suppress Title III and roving wiretaps [Doc. 122] be denied. After conducting a careful and complete review of a magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations, a district court judge may accept, reject, or modify a magistrate judge’s R&R. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (C); Williams v. Wainwright, 681 F.2d 732 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1982). No objections to the magistrate judge’s R&R have been

filed, and therefore, the Court has reviewed the R&R for plain error. See United States v. Slay, 714 F.2d 1093, 1095 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983). The Court finds no error.

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the R&R [Doc. 344] as the opinion of this Court. For the reasons stated in the R&R, the Court **DENIES** Defendant's motions to suppress evidence. [Docs 121, 122.] Additionally, the Court **GRANTS** Defendant's motion [Doc. 374] to adopt his previously filed motions.

**SO ORDERED**, this 29th day of November, 2022.



---

Eleanor L. Ross  
United States District Judge  
Northern District of Georgia